UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/978,457	10/16/2001	Joseph J. Chang	56301P579D	5126
	7590 12/29/200 ON AND EVANS	EXAMINER		
2700 CAREW TOWER			STIGELL, THEODORE J	
CINCINNAIT, OH 45202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3763	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/29/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/978,457	CHANG, JOSEPH J.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	THEODORE J. STIGELL	3763
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tid d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fromute, cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15</u> 2a) ■ This action is FINAL . 2b) ■ Th 3) ■ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-11 and 15-24 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-11 and 15-24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and an are subjected.	rawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a constant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the specific path or declaration is objected to by the Examiration.	ccepted or b) objected to by the e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objection.	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Djected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document copies of the priority document copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the pr	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applica iority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 9/15/2008 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-7, 9, and 15-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Luther (4,964,854). Luther discloses a needle cannula (26) having a distal point, a proximal end and further having a shaft with a circumference, a tip protector (40) having a base, the tip protector defining an opening to receive the needle cannula shaft and the tip protector is slideably mounted thereon, blocking means (50, 54) coupled to the tip protector for blocking the tip protector opening so as to enclose the distal point of the needle cannula within the tip protector, a gasket (60) coupled to the tip protector base defining an opening of a size to receive the needle cannula shaft,

Application/Control Number: 09/978,457 Page 3

Art Unit: 3763

impeding means (29) fixedly coupled to the needle cannula shaft at a predetermined location of the shaft for impeding movement of the tip protector along the needle cannula shaft beyond a pre determined distance from the needle cannula distal point, further comprising a flash chamber (36) coupled to the needle cannula at the needle cannula proximal end, wherein the blocking means comprises a tab (50, 54) having a length sufficient to block the tip protector opening, the tab pivotably coupled to the tip protector within the tip protector opening and slideably engaging the needle cannula shaft in a first biased position such that upon removal of the needle cannula shaft the tab is free to pivot to a second position extending across the tip protector opening, wherein the impeding means comprises an irregularity in the needle cannula shaft circumference a pre-determined distance from the needle cannula distal point occluding passing of the needle cannula shaft through the gasket opening.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

Application/Control Number: 09/978,457 Page 4

Art Unit: 3763

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 8, 10-11, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Luther (4,964,854). Luther discloses the claimed invention except for teaching that the tabs are made of metal and the tip protector is made of a transparent material. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use these materials, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin, 227 F.2d 197, 125 USPQ 416 (CCPA 1960).*

Claims 1-11 and 15-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Crawford et al. (5,601,536) in view of Chang et al. (5,419,766). See at least the embodiment shown in Figures 11-14. Crawford discloses an apparatus comprising a needle cannula (12) having a point (16), a tip protector (11) having a base (21), blocking means (18,19) coupled to the tip protector for blocking an opening of the tip protector so as to enclose the point of the needle, impeding means (22, 26) fixedly coupled to the needle cannula for impeding movement of the tip protector beyond a predetermined distance from the point, further comprising a flash chamber (40), and wherein the blocking means includes a biased tab and the impeding means includes an irregularity in the needle shaft. Crawford does not disclose a gasket coupled to the tip protector base.

Chang discloses a similar assembly wherein the base of the tip protector (11) includes a gasket (16). Chang teaches that the gasket is useful in preventing backflow along the needle and also wipes blood from the distal end of the needle as the needle is withdrawn from the catheter assembly. One of ordinary skill would understand that this feature is desirable because it lessens the chance for the physician of contact with the patient's blood.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the device of Crawford with the gasket of Chang in order to provide a tip protector that prevents backflow and has a blood wiping capability.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments see Remarks, filed 9/15/2008, with respect to the combination of Jagger ('692) and Chang ('766) have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection has been withdrawn.

Applicant's arguments in view of Luther (4,964,854) filed 2/14/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Luther 4,964,854

In response to the applicant's argument that Luther does not disclose a gasket defining an opening nominally of a size almost identical to the shaft circumference and through which the needle shaft is movable, the examiner respectfully disagrees. The examiner still maintains that element (60) provides a sealing capability with annular ring (62) and can therefore be considered a gasket. The examiner maintains that the new limitations are broad and that the circumference of element (60) at the distal end is

Art Unit: 3763

almost identical to the needle shaft. The examiner acknowledges that element (60) does not provide a fluid tight seal around the needle shaft and that such a limitation would surely overcome the Luther reference.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to THEODORE J. STIGELL whose telephone number is (571)272-8759. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nicholas Lucchesi can be reached on 571-272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 09/978,457 Page 7

Art Unit: 3763

Examiner, Art Unit 3763

/Nicholas D Lucchesi/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3763